# ISOMETRIC APPROXIMATION

BY

P. Alestalo

Matematiikan laitos, Teknillinen korkeakoulu PL 1100, 02015 TKK, Finland e-mail: palestal@falco.hut.fi

AND

## D. A. TROTSENKO

Institut Matematiki SO RAN Koptjuga prospekt 4, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia e-mail: trotsenk@math.nsc.ru

AND

## J. VÄISÄLÄ

Matematiikan laitos, Helsingin yliopisto PL 4, Yliopistonkatu 5, 00014 Helsinki, Finland e-mail: jvaisala@cc.helsinki.fi

#### ABSTRACT

Suppose that  $A \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  is a bounded set of diameter 1 and that  $f: A \to l_2$ is a map satisfying the nearisometry condition  $|x - y| - \varepsilon \leq |fx - fy| \leq |x - y| + \varepsilon$  with  $\varepsilon \leq 1$ . Then there is an isometry  $S: A \to l_2$  such that  $|Sx - fx| \leq c_n \sqrt{\varepsilon}$  for all x in A. If A satisfies a thickness condition and if  $f: A \to \mathbf{R}^n$ , then there is an isometry  $S: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$  with  $|Sx - fx| \leq c_n \varepsilon/q$ , where q is a thickness parameter.

Received January 27, 2000

#### 1. Introduction

1.1. Let  $l_2$  be the standard separable Hilbert space with inner product and norm written as  $x \cdot y$  and |x|. We consider maps  $f: A \to l_2$ , where  $A \subset l_2$ , and f can change distances only slightly. The condition on f may be additive or multiplicative. More precisely, let  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ . We say that f is an  $\varepsilon$ -nearisometry if

(1.a) 
$$|x-y| - \varepsilon \le |fx-fy| \le |x-y| + \varepsilon$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ , and that f is  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -bilipschitz if

(1.b) 
$$|x-y|/(1+\varepsilon) \le |fx-fy| \le (1+\varepsilon)|x-y|$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ .

The basic question is: how close is f to an actual isometry? For surjective  $\varepsilon$ -nearisometries  $f: l_2 \to l_2$ , Hyers and Ulam [HU] proved in 1945 that there is an isometry  $S: l_2 \to l_2$  such that  $|Sx - fx| \leq 10\varepsilon$  for all  $x \in l_2$ . The result was later extended to all Banach spaces; see [Ge], and the constant 10 has been reduced to 2; see [OŠ] and [Še].

Condition (1.a) is very strong for large distances, and the proofs of the results above make essential use of the behavior of f near the point at infinity.

In this paper, we consider bounded sets  $A \subset l_2$ , and the problem is essentially different. We show in 2.2 that if  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is bounded and if  $f: A \to l_2$  is an  $\varepsilon d(A)$ -nearisometry with  $\varepsilon \leq 1$ , then there is an isometry  $S: \mathbb{R}^n \to l_2$  such that

$$|Sx - fx| \le c_n \sqrt{\varepsilon} d(A)$$

for all  $x \in A$ . The result can be extended to the case where A lies in a narrow neighborhood of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  in  $l_2$ ; the constant c then depends also on the width of this neighborhood. The proofs are elementary but not short.

We do not know whether the result holds with a constant c independent of n or whether the result holds for all bounded subsets of  $l_2$ . On the other hand, the factor  $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$  has the correct order of magnitude. We show in Section 3 that it can be replaced by  $\varepsilon$  if  $f: A \to \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $A \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ , and A is not close to any hyperplane.

For related earlier results, see [Jo], [Vä], [Fi] and [Tr].

A  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -bilipschitz map  $f: A \to l_2$  is an  $\varepsilon d(A)$ -nearisometry, and hence we obtain approximation results for bilipschitz maps. In Section 4 we apply the above results to approximate quasisymmetric maps by similarities.

The results of this paper can be applied to extension problems of bilipschitz and quasisymmetric maps. 1.2. NOTATION. We let  $(e_1, e_2, ...)$  denote the standard basis of  $l_2$ , and the euclidean *n*-space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is identified with the linear subspace of  $l_2$  generated by  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ . We set  $\mathbb{R}^n_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n \ge 0\}$ . The distance between nonempty sets  $A, B \subset l_2$  is written as d(A, B). Furthermore, d(A) is the diameter of A, and aff A is the affine subspace generated by A. For  $x \in l_2$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we set

$$x_{k*} = d(x, \mathbf{R}^{k-1}) = \left(\sum_{i \geq k} x_i^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

Then

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_i e_i + x_{k*} e_i,$$

where e = e(x, k) is a unit vector perpendicular to  $\mathbf{R}^{k-1}$ .

We let B(x,r) and  $\overline{B}(x,r)$  denote open and closed balls with center x and radius r, and we abbreviate B(r) = B(0,r)  $\overline{B}(r) = \overline{B}(0,r)$ . If  $A \subset l_2$  and  $f, g: A \to l_2$  are maps, we write

$$||f - g||_A = \sup_{x \in A} |f(x) - g(x)|.$$

To simplify notation, we often omit parentheses writing fx = f(x) etc.

1.3. SPECIAL CONVENTION. Given a map  $f: A \to l_2$ , we write x' = f(x), y' = f(y), etc. This convention is only applied if the map is denoted by f.

### 2. Nearisometries of arbitrary sets

2.1. SUMMARY. In this section we consider  $\varepsilon$ -nearisometries  $f: A \to l_2$ , where A is an arbitrary bounded set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We show in Theorem 2.2 that f can be approximated by an isometry  $S: \mathbb{R}^n \to l_2$  so that the error term is of the form  $c\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ . A more general result is given in 2.10, where A is allowed to lie in a narrow neighborhood of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in  $l_2$ .

To simplify the proof we assume that A is compact. This is not an essential restriction; see 2.11.

2.2. THEOREM: Suppose that  $A \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  is compact and that  $f: A \to l_2$  is an  $\varepsilon d(A)$ -nearisometry with  $\varepsilon \leq 1$ . Then there is a surjective isometry  $S: l_2 \to l_2$  such that  $||S - f||_A \leq c_n \sqrt{\varepsilon} d(A)$ , where  $c_n$  depends only on n. If  $fA \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ , we can choose S so that  $S\mathbf{R}^n = \mathbf{R}^n$ .

The proof of 2.2 will be given in 2.8.

2.3. COROLLARY: Suppose that  $f: A \to l_2$  is as in 2.2. Then f has an extension to a  $\delta$ -nearisometry  $g: l_2 \to l_2$  with  $\delta = c_n \sqrt{\varepsilon} d(A)$ .

*Proof:* Set gx = Sx for  $x \in l_2 \setminus A$ , where S is given by 2.2.

2.4. NORMALIZATION. We say that a finite sequence  $\bar{a} = (a_0, \ldots, a_k)$  in  $l_2$  is **normalized** if  $a_0 = 0$  and if  $a_i \in \mathbf{R}^i_+$  for  $1 \le i \le k$ . For each  $\bar{a}$  there obviously is a surjective isometry  $T: l_2 \to l_2$  such that  $T\bar{a} = (Ta_0, \ldots, Ta_k)$  is normalized. If  $A \subset l_2$  is a set containing a finite sequence  $\bar{a}$  and if  $f: A \to l_2$  is a map such that  $f\bar{a}$  is normalized, we say that f is **normalized at**  $\bar{a}$ .

Given a compact set  $A \subset l_2$ , a finite sequence  $\bar{u} = (u(0), \ldots, u(n))$  of n + 1points  $u(j) \in A$  is said to be a **maximal** *n*-sequence in A if |u(0) - u(1)| = d(A)and if for  $2 \leq k \leq n$ , the distance  $d(u(k), \inf\{u(0), \ldots, u(k-1)\})$  is maximal in A. By compactness, the set A contains a maximal *n*-sequence for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If an *n*-sequence  $\bar{u}$  is normalized and maximal in A, then u(0) = 0,  $u(1) = d(A)e_1$ , and  $u(k) \in \mathbb{R}^k_+$  with  $u(k)_k = \max\{x_{k*} : x \in A\}$ ; see 1.2 for notation.

If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A \subset l_2$  is compact and  $f: A \to l_2$  is a map, then there are surjective isometries  $T_1, T_2: l_2 \to l_2$  such that  $T_1A$  contains a normalized maximal *n*-sequence  $\bar{u}$  and such that the map  $T_2fT_1^{-1}: T_1A \to l_2$  is normalized at  $\bar{u}$ .

We start by estimating a nearisometry of a set of three points. Recall that we write x' = fx.

2.5. LEMMA: Suppose that  $A = \{0, e_1, x\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with d(A) = 1. Let  $\varepsilon \leq 10^{-2}$ , and let  $f: A \to \mathbb{R}^2$  be an  $\varepsilon$ -nearisometry, normalized at  $(0, e_1)$ . Then

(1)  $|x_1 - x'_1| \le 3.03\varepsilon$ , (2)  $|x_2^2 - {x'_2}^2| \le 6.2\varepsilon$ , (3)  $|x_2 - x'_2| \le 6.2\varepsilon/x_2$  if  $x_2 > 0$ ,  $x'_2 \ge 0$ .

*Proof:* The proof makes use of the formula  $2a \cdot b = |a|^2 + |b|^2 - |a-b|^2$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} 2|x_1 - x_1'| &= |2x \cdot e_1 - 2x' \cdot e_1| \le ||x|^2 - |x'|^2| + ||x - e_1|^2 - |x' - e_1|^2| \\ &= (|x| + |x'|) \, ||x| - |x'|| + (|x - e_1| + |x' - e_1|) \, ||x - e_1| - |x' - e_1|| \, . \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|x'| \leq |x| + \varepsilon \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ , the first term is at most  $(2 + \varepsilon)\varepsilon$ . To estimate the second term we write  $fe_1 = \alpha e_1$  with  $|\alpha - 1| \leq \varepsilon$  and obtain  $|x' - \alpha e_1| \leq |x - e_1| + \varepsilon$ , which yields

$$|x'-e_1| \le |x'-\alpha e_1| + |\alpha-1| \le |x-e_1| + 2\varepsilon \le 1 + 2\varepsilon,$$

and similarly  $|x' - e_1| \ge |x - e_1| - 2\varepsilon$ . Thus

$$2|x_1 - x_1'| \le (2+\varepsilon)\varepsilon + (2+2\varepsilon)2\varepsilon = 6\varepsilon + 5\varepsilon^2 \le 6.05\varepsilon$$

which gives (1).

To prove (2) we consider two cases.

CASE 1:  $x_1 \leq 1/2$ . Since  $x_2^2 = |x|^2 - x_1^2$  and  ${x'}_2^2 = |x'|^2 - {x'}_1^2$ , and since  $\varepsilon \leq 10^{-2}$ , we get by (1)

$$\begin{aligned} |x_2^2 - {x'}_2^2| &\leq (|x| + |x'|)||x| - |x'|| + (|x_1| + |x_1'|)|x_1 - x_1'| \\ &\leq (2 + \varepsilon)\varepsilon + (1 + 3.03\varepsilon) \cdot 3.03\varepsilon \leq 5.2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

CASE 2:  $x_1 \ge 1/2$ . Now  $x_2^2 = |x-e_1|^2 - (x_1-1)^2$  and  $x'_2^2 = |x'-\alpha e_1|^2 - (x'_1-\alpha)^2$ , and thus

$$\begin{aligned} |x_2^2 - {x'}_2^2| &\leq (|x - e_1| + |x' - \alpha e_1|) ||x - e_1| - |x' - \alpha e_1|| \\ &+ (|x_1 - 1| + |x'_1 - \alpha|)|x_1 - 1 - x'_1 + \alpha| \\ &\leq (2 + \varepsilon)\varepsilon + (1/2 + |x'_1 - x_1| + |x_1 - 1| + |1 - \alpha|)(|x_1 - x'_1| + |\alpha - 1|) \\ &\leq 2.01\varepsilon + (1 + 3.03\varepsilon + \varepsilon)(3.025\varepsilon + \varepsilon) \leq 6.2\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the estimate  $|x_1 - x'_1| \leq 3.025\varepsilon$  from the proof of (1).

Finally, if  $x_2 > 0$ ,  $x'_2 \ge 0$ , then  $|x_2 + x'_2| \ge x_2$ , and (3) follows from (2).

2.6. AN INDUCTIVE STATEMENT. Theorem 2.2 will be proved by induction. For this purpose, let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $S_n$  be the following statement.

 $S_n$ : Let  $A = \{0, e_1, u(2), \ldots, u(n), x\} \subset l_2$  be such that the *n*-sequence  $\bar{u} = (0, e_1, u(2), \ldots, u(n))$  is normalized and maximal in A. Suppose that  $f: A \to l_2$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -nearisometry with  $\varepsilon \leq 10^{-2}$ , normalized at  $\bar{u}$ . Then the following three estimates are true:

(i) If  $\lambda > 0$  and if  $u(n)_n \ge \lambda \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ , then  $|x_n - x'_n| \le \varrho_n \varepsilon / u(n)_n$ , where  $\varrho_n = \varrho_n(\lambda)$ . (ii) If  $\lambda > 0$  and if  $u(n)_n \ge \lambda \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ , then  $|x_{(n+1)*}^2 - {x'}_{(n+1)*}^2| \le \tau_n \varepsilon$ , where  $\tau_n = \tau_n(\lambda)$ .

(iii) If  $t \ge 0$  and if  $x_{(n+1)*} \le t\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ , then  $|x - x'| \le \gamma_n \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ , where  $\gamma_n = \gamma_n(t)$ .

The numbers  $\rho_n$ ,  $\tau_n$  and  $\gamma_n$  are obtained from the following recursive formulas:

$$\begin{split} \varrho_1 &= 3.03, \quad \tau_1 = 6.2, \quad \gamma_1(t)^2 = 0.1 + (t + \sqrt{t^2 + 6.2})^2, \\ \varrho_{n+1}(\lambda) &= 3.02 + \tau_n(\lambda)\sqrt{1 + \tau_n(\lambda)/\lambda^2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \varrho_k(\lambda)(2 + \varrho_k(\lambda)/\lambda^2), \\ \tau_{n+1}(\lambda) &= \tau_n(\lambda) + \varrho_{n+1}(\lambda)(2 + \varrho_{n+1}(\lambda)/\lambda^2), \\ \gamma_{n+1}(t) &= \min\{\max\{\gamma_n(\lambda), \beta_{n+1}(\lambda, t)\} : \lambda > 0\}, \end{split}$$

where

$$\beta_{n+1}(\lambda,t)^2 = 0.1 + (t + \sqrt{t^2 + \tau_{n+1}(\lambda)})^2 + \lambda^{-2} \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \varrho_k(\lambda)^2.$$

## 2.7. LEMMA: Statement $S_n$ is true for all $n \ge 1$ .

**Proof:** The lemma is proved by induction on n. Since u(0) = 0 and  $u(1) = e_1$ , we have d(A) = 1. Hence  $S_1(i)$  follows from 2.5(1); the condition involving  $\lambda$  is irrelevant. Estimate  $S_1(i)$  follows from 2.5(2) with the aid of auxiliary rotations around span $(e_1)$ ; again the condition with  $\lambda$  is irrelevant.

To prove  $S_1(iii)$ , suppose that  $t \ge 0$  and that  $x_{2*} \le t\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ . From  $S_1(ii)$  we get

$$x'_{2*} \le \sqrt{x^2_{2*} + 6.2\varepsilon} \le \sqrt{t^2 + 6.2}\sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

Writing  $x = x_1e_1 + x_{2*}e$ ,  $x' = x'_1e_1 + x'_{2*}e'$  as in 1.2 we obtain

$$|x - x'|^2 = |x_1 - x_1'|^2 + |x_{2*}e - x_{2*}'e'|^2 \le 3.03^2 \cdot 10^{-2}\varepsilon + (x_{2*} + x_{2*}')^2 \le (0.1 + (t + \sqrt{t^2 + 6.2})^2)\varepsilon,$$

which proves  $S_1(iii)$ .

Next assume that  $n \geq 2$  and that  $S_k$  is true for  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ . First observe that the functions  $\rho_{n+1}(\lambda)$ ,  $\tau_{n+1}(\lambda)$  and  $\beta_{n+1}(\lambda, t)$  are decreasing in  $\lambda$  and tend to infinity as  $\lambda \to 0$ . Moreover,  $\beta_{n+1}(\lambda, t)$  is increasing in t and tends to infinity as  $t \to \infty$ . By induction we see that the definition of  $\gamma_{n+1}(t)$  makes sense, and in fact,  $\gamma_{n+1}(t) = \gamma_n(\lambda_n)$ , where  $\lambda_n = \lambda_n(t)$  is the unique solution of the equation  $\gamma_n(\lambda_n) = \beta_{n+1}(\lambda_n, t)$ . Moreover,  $\gamma_n(t)$  is increasing in t.

Suppose that A,  $\bar{u}$  and f are as in  $S_n$ . Writing  $h_k = u(k)_k$ ,  $h'_k = u(k)'_k$  we have  $1 \ge h_1 \ge h_2 \ge \cdots \ge h_n$  and  $h'_k \ge 0$ . To prove  $S_n(i)$  we assume that  $\lambda > 0$  and that  $h_n \ge \lambda \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ . We have

$$|x_n - x'_n|h_n \le |x_n h_n - x'_n h'_n| + |x'_n||h_n - h'_n|.$$

Furthermore, the (n-1)-sequence  $(0, e_1, u(2), \ldots, u(n-1))$  is normalized and maximal in A, and hence  $S_{n-1}(ii)$  yields  $|h_n^2 - {h'}_n^2| \leq \tau_{n-1}\varepsilon$ . Since  $h_n > 0$  and  $h'_n \geq 0$ , this implies that

$$|h_n - h'_n| \le \tau_{n-1} \varepsilon / h_n.$$

Since  $x_{n*} \leq h_n$ , condition  $S_{n-1}$ (ii) also gives

$$|x'_n| \le x'_{n*} \le \sqrt{x_{n*}^2 + \tau_{n-1}\varepsilon} \le h_n \sqrt{1 + \tau_{n-1}/\lambda^2}.$$

Vol. 125, 2001

On the other hand,

$$2\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k} u(n)_{k} = 2x \cdot u(n) = |x|^{2} + |u(n)|^{2} - |x - u(n)|^{2}.$$

This and the corresponding formula for  $2x' \cdot u(n)'$  yield

$$2\bigg|\sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_{k}u(n)_{k} - x'_{k}u(n)'_{k})\bigg| \leq \big||x|^{2} - |x'|^{2}\big| + \big||u(n)|^{2} - |u(n)'|^{2}\big| \\ + \big||x - u(n)|^{2} - |x' - u(n)'|^{2}\big|.$$

The first term on the right is

$$(|x|+|x'|)||x|-|x'|| \leq (1+1+\varepsilon)\varepsilon \leq 2.01\varepsilon.$$

The other terms have the same upper bound, and hence

$$\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_k u(n)_k - x'_k u(n)'_k)\right| \leq 3.015\varepsilon.$$

This implies that

$$|x_nh_n - x'_nh'_n| \le 3.015\varepsilon + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (|x_k - x'_k||u(n)_k| + |x'_k||u(n)_k - u(n)'_k|).$$

Since  $|u(n)_k| \leq h_k$ , condition  $S_k(i)$  gives

$$ert x_k - x'_k ert ert u(n)_k ert \leq arrho_k arepsilon, \quad ert u(n)_k - u(n)'_k ert \leq arrho_k arepsilon/h_k, \ ert x'_k ert \leq ert x_k ert + ert x_k - x'_k ert \leq h_k + arrho_k arepsilon/h_k \leq h_k (1 + arrho_k / \lambda^2)$$

for  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ . Hence

$$|x_nh_n - x'_nh'_n| \leq 3.015\varepsilon + \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \varrho_k (2 + \varrho_k/\lambda^2).$$

Combining the estimates yields

$$\begin{aligned} |x_n - x'_n| &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{h_n} \left( 3.02 + \tau_{n-1} \sqrt{1 + \tau_{n-1}/\lambda^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \varrho_k (2 + \varrho_k/\lambda^2) \right) \\ &= \varepsilon \varrho_n/h_n, \end{aligned}$$

and  $S_n(i)$  is proved.

We next prove  $S_n(ii)$ . Let again  $h_n \ge \lambda \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ . We have

$$x_{(n+1)*}^2 = x_{n*}^2 - x_n^2, \quad {x'}_{(n+1)*}^2 = {x'}_{n*}^2 - {x'}_n^2.$$

Since  $h_{n-1} \ge h_n \ge \lambda \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ , we can apply  $S_{n-1}(ii)$  and obtain

$$|x_{(n+1)*}^2 - {x'}_{(n+1)*}^2| \le |x_{n*}^2 - {x'}_{n*}^2| + |x_n^2 - {x'}_n^2| \le \tau_{n-1}\varepsilon + (|x_n| + |x_n'|)|x_n - x_n'|.$$

Here  $|x_n| \leq h_n$  and

$$|x'_n| \le |x_n| + |x_n - x'_n| \le h_n + \varrho_n \varepsilon / h_n \le h_n (1 + \varrho_n / \lambda^2).$$

Hence

$$|x_{(n+1)*}^2 - {x'}_{(n+1)*}^2| \le \left(\tau_{n-1} + \varrho_n(2 + \varrho_n/\lambda^2)\right)\varepsilon = \tau_n\varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof of  $S_n(ii)$ .

To prove  $S_n(iii)$  assume that  $t \ge 0$  and that  $x_{(n+1)*} \le t\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ . Let  $\lambda > 0$ . We consider two cases.

CASE 1:  $h_n \leq \lambda \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ . Now  $x_{n*} \leq \lambda \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ , and  $S_{n-1}(\text{iii})$  gives  $|x - x'| \leq \gamma_{n-1}(\lambda) \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ . CASE 2:  $h_n \geq \lambda \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ . Write

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k e_k + x_{(n+1)*} e, \quad x' = \sum_{k=1}^{n} x'_k e_k + x'_{(n+1)*} e',$$

as in 1.2. Applying  $S_k(i)$  for  $1 \le k \le n$  and  $S_n(ii)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |x - x'|^2 &= |x_1 - x_1'|^2 + \sum_{k=2}^n |x_k - x_k'|^2 + |x_{(n+1)*}e - x_{(n+1)*}'e'|^2 \\ &\leq (3.03\varepsilon)^2 + \sum_{k=2}^n (\varrho_k \varepsilon/h_k)^2 + (x_{(n+1)*} + x_{(n+1)*}')^2 \\ &\leq 0.1\varepsilon + \varepsilon \lambda^{-2} \sum_{k=2}^n \varrho_k^2 + (t + \sqrt{t^2 + \tau_n})^2 \varepsilon = \beta_n(\lambda, t)^2 \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lambda > 0$  was arbitrary,  $S_n(iii)$  follows.

2.8. PROOF OF 2.2. If  $10^{-2} \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$ , we fix a point  $a \in A$  and choose an isometry  $S: \mathbb{R}^n \to l_2$  with Sa = fa. Since  $1 \leq 10\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ , we get for each  $x \in A$ 

$$|Sx - fx| \le |Sx - Sa| + |fx - fa| \le 2|x - a| + \varepsilon d(A) \le 21\sqrt{\varepsilon}d(A).$$

Hence we may assume that  $\varepsilon \leq 10^{-2}$ .

Replacing f by the map  $x \mapsto f(d(A)x)/d(A)$  we may assume that d(A) = 1. Moreover, we can use auxiliary isometries to normalize the situation so that A contains a normalized maximal *n*-sequence  $\bar{u}$  and that f is normalized at  $\bar{u}$ . The theorem follows with S = id and  $c_n = \gamma_n(0)$  from the case t = 0 of statement  $S_n(\text{iii})$  of 2.6. The condition  $S\mathbf{R}^n \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  is clear from the proof.

2.9. NUMERICAL ESTIMATES. For  $\varepsilon \leq 10^{-2}$ , Theorem 2.2 is true with  $c_1 = 2.51, c_2 = 8.73, c_3 = 18.8$ .

We next give a more general version of 2.2 by allowing the set A to lie in a narrow neighborhood of  $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ .

2.10. THEOREM: Suppose that  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , that F is an n-dimensional affine subspace of  $l_2$ , that  $t \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$ , and that  $A \subset F + \overline{B}(t\sqrt{\varepsilon}d(A))$  is compact. Let  $f: A \to l_2$  be an  $\varepsilon d(A)$ -nearisometry. Then there is a surjective isometry  $S: l_2 \to l_2$  such that  $||S - f||_A \leq \gamma_n(C_n t)\sqrt{\varepsilon}d(A)$ , where the function  $\gamma_n$  is given by 2.7, and  $C_n$  depends only on n.

Proof: We may again assume that  $\varepsilon \leq 10^{-2}$  and d(A) = 1. Choose a maximal *n*-sequence  $\bar{u} = (u(0), \ldots, u(n))$  for A. Let  $T: l_2 \to l_2$  be a surjective isometry normalized at  $\bar{u}$ . Then TA lies in  $\mathbb{R}^n + \bar{B}(C_n t \sqrt{\varepsilon})$  by Lemma 5.9 in the appendix. Hence we may assume that  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n + \bar{B}(C_n t \sqrt{\varepsilon})$  and that A has a normalized maximal *n*-sequence  $\bar{u}$ . By another auxiliary isometry we may assume that f is normalized at  $\bar{u}$ . Since  $x_{(n+1)*} \leq C_n t \sqrt{\varepsilon}$  for all  $x \in A$ , the theorem follows from  $S_n(\text{iii})$  of 2.6 with S = id.

2.11. REMARK. In 2.2 and 2.10, we assumed that A is compact in order that A contain a maximal sequence. However, the results are true for all bounded sets, and they are obtained by an elaboration of the proofs above, using approximate maximal sequences in the natural sense. Alternatively, we can in 2.2 use an extension of f to the closure  $\overline{A}$ .

Indeed, if A and fA lie in finite-dimensional subspaces, we can extend an  $\varepsilon$ -nearisometry  $f: A \to l_2$  to an  $\varepsilon$ -nearisometry  $g: \bar{A} \to l_2$  by setting  $gx = \lim_{j\to\infty} fx_j$  for  $x \in \bar{A} \setminus A$ , where  $(x_j)$  is an arbitrary sequence in A such that  $x_j \to x$  and such that the sequence  $(fx_j)$  converges.

In arbitrary metric spaces X and Y, one can extend an  $\varepsilon$ -nearisometry  $f: A \to Y$ , where  $A \subset X$ , to an  $\varepsilon'$ -nearisometry  $g: \overline{A} \to Y$  for each  $\varepsilon' > \varepsilon$  by setting gx = fy for  $x \in \overline{A} \setminus A$ , where  $y \in A$  is an arbitrary point with  $|y-x| \leq (\varepsilon' - \varepsilon)/2$ .

2.12. SHARPNESS. We next show that the bound  $||S - f||_A \leq c_n \sqrt{\varepsilon} d(A)$  in 2.2 has the correct order of magnitude. Indeed, we show that the theorem is not true for small  $\varepsilon$  if  $c_n < 1/2$ .

Set  $A = \{0, e_1/2, e_1\} \subset \mathbf{R}$ . Let  $0 < h \leq 1/2$ , and define  $f: A \to \mathbf{R}^2$  by  $f(0) = 0, f(e_1) = e_1, f(e_1/2) = e_1/2 + he_2$ . Then f is an  $\varepsilon$ -nearisometry with  $\varepsilon = h^2$ , since

$$\sqrt{1/4 + h^2} - 1/2 < h^2.$$

Assume that  $S: A \to l_2$  is an isometry, and set  $\alpha = ||S - f||_A$ . Then  $S\mathbf{R}$  is a line meeting the three balls  $\tilde{B}(Sx, \alpha), x \in A$ . It follows that  $\alpha \ge h/2 = \sqrt{\varepsilon}/2$ . Consequently, for each  $0 < \varepsilon \le 1/4$  there is a finite set  $A \subset \mathbf{R}$  and an  $\varepsilon$ -nearisometry  $f: A \to \mathbf{R}^2$  such that d(A) = 1 and  $||S - f||_A \ge \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\varepsilon}$  for every isometry  $S: \mathbf{R} \to l_2$ .

## 3. Nearisometries of thick sets

3.1. SUMMARY. In this section we consider nearisometries  $f: A \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , where A is compact in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and A is not very close to any hyperplane. We say that such a set is **thick** and give the precise definition for the thickness  $\theta(A)$  of A in terms of projections onto lines. An alternative characterization for thickness in terms of heights of simplexes is considered in 3.13.

We show that  $\varepsilon$ -nearisometries of thick sets can be approximated by isometries with error term of the form  $c\varepsilon$  instead of  $c\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ . Compactness can again be replaced by boundedness; see 2.11.

3.2. THICKNESS. For each unit vector  $e \in S^{n-1}$  we define the projection  $\pi_e: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$  by  $\pi_e x = x \cdot e$ . Let  $A \neq \emptyset$  be a bounded set in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . The **thickness** of A is the number

$$\theta(A) = \inf\{d(\pi_e A) : e \in S^{n-1}\}.$$

Alternatively,  $\theta(A)$  is the infimum of all t > 0 such that A lies between two parallel hyperplanes F, F' with d(F, F') = t. We have always  $0 \le \theta(A) \le d(A)$ .

3.3. THEOREM: Suppose that  $0 < q \leq 1$  and that  $A \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  is a compact set with  $\theta(A) \geq qd(A)$ . Let  $f: A \to \mathbf{R}^n$  be an  $\varepsilon$ -nearisometry. Then there is an isometry  $S: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$  such that  $||S - f||_A \leq c_n \varepsilon/q$ , where  $c_n$  depends only on n.

The proof of 3.3 will be given in 3.7.

3.4. COROLLARY: Suppose that q and f:  $A \to \mathbb{R}^n$  are as in 3.3. Then f has an extension to a  $\delta$ -nearisometry g:  $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\delta = c_n \varepsilon/q$ .

*Proof:* Set gx = Sx for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus A$ , where S is given by 3.3.

3.5. AN INDUCTIVE STATEMENT. Theorem 3.3 will be proved by induction on n. For this purpose, let  $n \ge 1$  be an integer and let  $T_n$  be the following statement:

 $T_n$ : Suppose that  $A = \{0, e_1, u(2), \ldots, u(n), x\} \subset l_2$  is such that the *n*-sequence  $\bar{u} = (0, e_1, u(2), \ldots, u(n))$  is normalized and maximal in A with  $u(n)_n > 0$ . Let  $f: A \to l_2$  be an  $\varepsilon$ -nearisometry with  $\varepsilon \leq 10^{-2} \wedge 4u(n)_n^2$ , normalized at  $\bar{u}$ . Then

- (i)  $|x_n x'_n| \leq \varrho_n \varepsilon / u(n)_n$ ,
- (ii)  $|x_{(n+1)*}^2 {x'}_{(n+1)*}^2| \le \tau_n \varepsilon.$

The numbers  $\rho_n$  and  $\tau_n$  depend only on n, and they are obtained from the following recursive formulas:

$$\begin{split} \varrho_1 &= 3.03, \quad \tau_1 = 6.2, \\ \varrho_n &= 3.02 + \tau_{n-1}\sqrt{1 + \tau_{n-1}} + 2\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \varrho_k (1 + 2\varrho_k), \\ \tau_n &= \tau_{n-1} + 2\varrho_n (1 + 2\varrho_n). \end{split}$$

3.6. LEMMA: Statement  $T_n$  is true for all  $n \ge 1$ .

Proof: The case n = 1 follows from 2.5 with auxiliary rotations. Assume that  $n \ge 2$  and that  $T_k$  is true for  $1 \le k \le n-1$ . Let  $f: A \to l_2$  be as in  $T_n$ . Write  $h_k = u(k)_k$  and  $h'_k = u(k)'_k$ . From the maximality and normalization conditions it follows that

$$1=h_1\geq\cdots\geq h_n>0,$$

and that

(3.a) 
$$|x_k| \le x_{k*} \le u(k)_{k*} = h_k, \quad h'_k = u(k)'_{k*}$$

for all  $1 \le k \le n$ . Moreover,

(3.b) 
$$\varepsilon \le 4h_n^2 \le 4h_k^2$$

for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

To prove  $T_n(i)$  we first observe that

(3.c) 
$$h_n |x_n - x'_n| \le |x_n h_n - x'_n h'_n| + |x'_n| |h_n - h'_n|.$$

As in the proof of 2.7, we have  $|x \cdot u(n) - x' \cdot u(n)'| \leq 3.015\epsilon$ . Since  $u(n), u(n)' \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |x_n h_n - x'_n h'_n| &\leq |x \cdot u(n) - x' \cdot u(n)'| + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |x_k u(n)_k - x'_k u(n)'_k| \\ &\leq 3.015\varepsilon + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (|x_k - x'_k| |u(n)_k| + |x'_k| |u(n)_k - u(n)'_k|). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.b) it follows that  $T_k$  holds for the restrictions of f to the sets

$$A_{k} = \{0, e_{1}, u(2), \dots, u(k), x\}, \quad B_{k} = \{0, e_{1}, u(2), \dots, u(k), u(n)\}$$

for all  $1 \le k \le n-1$ . From  $T_k(i)$  for  $f|A_k$  we get for these k

$$\begin{aligned} |x_k - x'_k| &\leq \varrho_k \varepsilon / h_k, \\ |x'_k| &\leq |x_k| + |x_k - x'_k| \leq h_k + \varrho_k \varepsilon / h_k \leq (1 + 4\varrho_k) h_k, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\varepsilon \leq 4h_n^2 \leq 4h_k^2$ .

Furthermore,  $T_k(i)$  for  $f|B_k$  gives, together with (3.a),

$$|u(n)_k - u(n)'_k| \le \varrho_k \varepsilon / h_k.$$

Since  $|u(n)_k| \leq h_k$  by the maximality of  $\bar{u}$ , these estimates yield

(3.d) 
$$|x_n h_n - x'_n h'_n| \le 3.015\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \varrho_k (1+2\varrho_k).$$

Applying  $T_{n-1}(ii)$  to  $f|A_{n-1}$  we obtain

(3.e) 
$$|x'_n| \le |x'_{n*}| \le \sqrt{x_{n*}^2 + \tau_{n-1}\varepsilon} \le h_n \sqrt{1 + \tau_{n-1}},$$

and  $T_{n-1}(ii)$  for  $f|B_{n-1}$  gives

(3.f) 
$$|h_n - h'_n| = \frac{|h_n^2 - {h'}_n^2|}{h_n + h'_n} \le \frac{\tau_{n-1}\varepsilon}{h_n},$$

in view of (3.a). Condition  $T_n(i)$  follows now from the estimates (3.c)-(3.f).

To prove  $T_n(ii)$  we first observe that

$$|x_{(n+1)*}^2 - {x'}_{(n+1)*}^2| \le |x_{n*}^2 - {x'}_{n*}^2| + |x_n^2 - {x'}_n^2|.$$

Applying  $T_{n-1}(ii)$  to  $f|A_{n-1}$  we get

$$|x_{n*}^2 - {x'}_{n*}^2| \le \tau_{n-1}\varepsilon.$$

Furthermore,  $T_n(i)$  implies that

$$|x'_n| \leq |x_n| + |x_n - x'_n| \leq h_n + \varrho_n \varepsilon / h_n \leq h_n (1 + 4\varrho_n).$$

Together with  $T_n(i)$  and (3.a), this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |x_n^2 - {x'}_n^2| &\leq (|x_n| + |x'_n|)|x_n - x'_n| \leq (h_n + h_n(1 + 4\varrho_n))\varrho_n \varepsilon/h_n \\ &= 2\varrho_n(1 + 2\varrho_n)\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

These estimates yield  $T_n(ii)$ .

3.7. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.3. We may assume that d(A) = 1. Choose a maximal *n*-sequence  $\bar{u} = (u(0), \ldots, u(n))$  in A. Performing auxiliary isometries we may assume that  $\bar{u}$  is normalized and that f is normalized at  $\bar{u}$ . Then u(0) = 0 and  $u(1) = e_1$ . Setting  $h_k = u(k)_k$  we have  $1 = h_1 \ge \cdots \ge h_n$ . Moreover, since  $|x_n| \le h_n$  for all  $x \in A$ , we have  $2h_n \ge \theta(A) \ge q$ .

If  $q^2 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$ , we apply 2.2 to get an isometry S with  $||S - f||_A \leq c_n \sqrt{\epsilon} = c_n \epsilon / \sqrt{\epsilon} \leq c_n \epsilon / q$ .

If  $\varepsilon \geq 10^{-2}$ , then

$$|x-x'| \leq |x|+|x'| \leq 2+arepsilon \leq 201arepsilon \leq 201arepsilon/q,$$

and hence S = id is the desired approximation of f.

Finally, let  $\varepsilon \leq 10^{-2} \wedge q^2$ . Then  $\varepsilon \leq q^2 \leq 4h_k^2$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

We show that S = id is the desired isometric approximation of f. Let  $x \in A$ . For  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , we can apply condition  $T_k(i)$  of 3.5 to  $f|\{0, e_1, u(2), \ldots, u(k), x\}$  and obtain

$$|x_k - x'_k| \le \varrho_k \varepsilon / h_k \le 2 \varrho_k \varepsilon / q.$$

Consequently,

$$|x-x'|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k - x'_k|^2 \le 4\varepsilon^2 q^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^n \varrho_k^2,$$

which gives  $|x - x'| \le c_n \varepsilon / q$  with  $c_n^2 = 4 \sum_{k=1}^n \varrho_k^2$ .

3.8. NUMERICAL ESTIMATES. For  $\varepsilon \leq q^2 \wedge 10^{-2}$ , Theorem 3.3 is true with  $c_1 = 6.06, c_2 = 126, c_3 = 4 \cdot 10^6$ .

3.9. SHARPNESS. We show that the bound  $||S - f||_A \le c_n \varepsilon/q$  has the correct order of magnitude as a function of q. For  $0 < q \le 1/2$  let A be the set  $\{0, u, e_1\}$ , where  $u = e_1/2 + qe_2$ . Then  $\theta(A) = q$  and d(A) = 1. For  $0 < h \le q/3$  define

a map  $f: A \to \mathbf{R}^2$  by f(0) = 0,  $f(e_1) = e_1$ ,  $f(u) = u + he_2$ . Then f is an  $\varepsilon$ -nearisometry with  $\varepsilon = 7qh/3$ , since

$$\sqrt{1/4 + (q+h)^2} - \sqrt{1/4 + q^2} \le 2qh + h^2 \le 2qh + qh/3 = \varepsilon.$$

If  $S: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  is an isometry with  $||S-f||_A \leq \alpha$ , then  $q+h-2\alpha \leq q$ , and hence  $\alpha \geq h/2 > \varepsilon/6q$ . Consequently, for every  $0 < q \leq 1/2$  and  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 7q^2/9$  there is a set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and an  $\varepsilon$ -nearisometry  $f: A \to \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $\theta(A) = q$ , d(A) = 1, and  $||S-f||_A \geq \varepsilon/6q$  for every isometry  $S: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ .

3.10. JOHN'S METHOD. The proofs of 2.2 and 3.3 were, unfortunately, technically complicated. On the other hand, F. John [Jo] has given an elegant proof for isometric approximation of locally  $(1+\varepsilon)$ -bilipschitz maps  $f: G \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , where the domain  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  belongs to a class later called John domains. For example, G may be a convex domain with  $B(x_0, r) \subset G \subset B(x_0, cr)$  with a given  $c \geq 1$ .

We next show that John's ideas can be applied to approximate a nearisometry  $f: A \to \mathbf{R}^n$  provided that the set  $A \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  possesses "enough orthogonality". The proof is based on the following fact; see [BL, page 349].

3.11. LEMMA: If  $A: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$  is a linear map such that  $|Ae_i \cdot Ae_j - e_i \cdot e_j| \leq t$  for all *i* and *j*, then there is a linear orthogonal map *T* such that  $|A - T| \leq nt$ , where  $|\cdot|$  is the operator norm.

3.12. THEOREM: Suppose that  $n \ge 2$ , that  $A \subset \overline{B}^n(x_0, R)$ , and that A contains points  $x_0, x_0 + ru_1, \ldots, x_0 + ru_n$ , where  $0 < r \le R$ , and the vectors  $u_1, \ldots, u_n$  are orthonormal. Let  $f: A \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be an  $\varepsilon$ -nearisometry. Then there is an isometry  $S: A \to \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $Sx_0 = fx_0$  and  $||S - f||_A \le 10n^{3/2}R\varepsilon/r$ .

Proof: We may normalize the situation so that  $x_0 = 0 = fx_0, r = 1, u_i = e_i$ . If  $\varepsilon \ge 1/4$ , we can choose S = id, since

$$|x - fx| \le |x| + |fx| \le 2R + \varepsilon \le 9R\varepsilon$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Assume that  $\varepsilon \leq 1/4$ . Applying again the basic formula  $2a \cdot b = |a|^2 + |b|^2 - |a - b|^2$  we get for all  $x, y \in A$ 

$$\begin{split} 2|fx \cdot fy - x \cdot y| &\leq (|x| + |fx|)\varepsilon + (|y| + |fy|)\varepsilon + (|x - y| + |fx - fy|)\varepsilon \\ &\leq (2|x| + 1/4)\varepsilon + (2|y| + 1/4)\varepsilon + (2|x - y| + 1/4)\varepsilon, \end{split}$$

and hence

(3.g) 
$$|fx \cdot fy - x \cdot y| \le (|x| + |y| + |x - y| + 3/8)\varepsilon.$$

Vol. 125, 2001

Let  $L: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$  be the linear map with  $Le_i = fe_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then (3.g) implies that

$$|Le_i \cdot Le_j - e_i \cdot e_j| \le (1 + 1 + \sqrt{2} + 3/8)\varepsilon \le 3.8\varepsilon.$$

By 3.11, there is an orthogonal map  $T: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$  with  $|T - L| \leq 3.8n\varepsilon$ . By (3.g) we get

$$egin{aligned} |(fx-Tx)\cdot Te_i| &\leq |fx| \; |Te_i-Le_i|+|fx\cdot fe_i-x\cdot e_i| \ &\leq 3.8narepsilon(R+1/4)+(R+1+R+1+3/8)arepsilon\leq 9.2nRarepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in A$  and  $1 \le i \le n$ . Since the vectors  $Te_i$  are orthonormal, this implies  $|fx - Tx| \le 9.2n^{3/2}R\varepsilon$ .

3.13. SIMPLICIAL THICKNESS. Let  $\Delta$  be a k-simplex in  $l_2$ , and let  $\Delta^0$  be the set of vertices of  $\Delta$ . We let  $b(\Delta)$  denote the smallest height of  $\Delta$ , that is, the smallest distance between a vertex of  $\Delta$  and the affine subspace spanned by the opposite face.

For a bounded set  $\emptyset \neq A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , the number

$$\theta_S(A) = \sup\{b(\Delta) : \Delta \text{ is an } n \text{-simplex}, \ \Delta^0 \subset A\}$$

is the **simplicial thickness** of A. A localized version of this concept has been used in [Vä] and [VVW].

It is rather obvious that the numbers  $\theta(A)$  and  $\theta_S(A)$  cannot be too different from each other, and in the next result we show that the ratio  $\theta_S(A)/\theta(A)$  lies between two positive bounds. It is perhaps not so obvious that the upper bound must depend on the dimension n, but if A is a regular unit n-simplex, then  $\theta_S(A) > 1/\sqrt{2}$  while  $\theta(A)$  is roughly  $\sqrt{2/n}$ .

3.14. THEOREM: If  $A \neq \emptyset$  is a bounded set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then

$$\theta(A)/2 \le \theta_S(A) \le (n+1)\theta(A)/2.$$

Proof: We may assume that A is compact. Choose an n-simplex  $\Delta$  with  $\Delta^0 \subset A$  such that the volume of  $\Delta$  is maximal. Write  $b(\Delta) = d(v, E)$  where v is a vertex of  $\Delta$  and E is the affine subspace spanned by the opposite face. Now A lies in  $E + \overline{B}(b(\Delta))$ , since otherwise we could find a simplex with larger volume. This proves the first inequality.

The second inequality follows from Theorem 5.3 in the appendix. For an even n we have a better estimate  $\theta_S(A) \leq n\theta(A)/2$ .

#### 4. Quasisymmetric and nearsymmetric maps

In this section we consider the approximation of maps by similarities instead of isometries.

4.1. QUASISYMMETRY. We first recall the concept of a quasisymmetric map. In this section, we let X and Y denote metric spaces, and the distance between points a and b in each space is written as |a - b|. A **proper triple** in X is a triple T = (x; y, z) of points in X such that  $y \neq x \neq z$ . The **ratio** of a proper triple T = (x; y, z) is the number

$$|T| = \frac{|x-y|}{|x-z|}.$$

An injective map  $f: X \to Y$  maps each proper triple T = (x; y, z) in X to the proper triple

$$T' = fT = (fx; fy, fz)$$

in Y.

Let  $\eta: [0, \infty[ \to [0, \infty[$  be a homeomorphism. An injective map  $f: X \to Y$  is  $\eta$ quasisymmetric if  $|T'| \leq \eta(|T|)$  for every proper triple T in X. For  $0 \leq s \leq 1$ , a map  $f: X \to Y$  is *s*-quasisymmetric if f is  $\eta$ -quasisymmetric for some  $\eta$  and if  $|T'| \leq |T| + s$  for all proper triples in X with  $|T| \leq 1/s$ . For s = 0, this means that |T'| = |T| for all T, and f is a similarity.

4.2. NEARSYMMETRY. Let  $0 \le s \le 1$ . We say that an injective map  $f: X \to Y$  is *s*-nearsymmetric if  $|T'| \le |T| + s$  for all proper triples T in X with  $|T| \le 1/s$ . An *s*-quasisymmetric map is trivially *s*-nearsymmetric, but an *s*-nearsymmetric map need not be  $\eta$ -quasisymmetric for any  $\eta$ . However, this is the case if the space X has suitable connectedness properties; see [TV, 3.10] and [Vä, 2.3].

We show that suitably normalized nearsymmetric maps are nearisometries, and we apply this fact to prove that nearsymmetric (and hence quasisymmetric) maps can be approximated by similarities.

4.3. LEMMA: Suppose that  $f: X \to Y$  is s-nearsymmetric and that T is a proper triple in X with  $|T| \leq r$  where  $r \geq 1$ . Then

$$|T'| \ge |T| - r^2 s.$$

Proof: We may assume that s > 0. Let T = (x; y, z). Then U = (x; z, y) is a proper triple. If  $|T| \le rs$ , the lemma holds trivially. If  $|T| \ge rs$ , then  $|U| = |T|^{-1} \le (rs)^{-1} \le s^{-1}$ , and hence

$$|T'|^{-1} = |U'| \le |U| + s = |T|^{-1} + s.$$

This implies that  $|T'| \ge |T| - s'$  where

$$s' = rac{|T|^2 s}{1+s|T|} \le |T|^2 s \le r^2 s.$$

4.4. THEOREM: Suppose that  $0 \le s \le 1/2$  and that  $f: X \to Y$  is s-near-symmetric. Suppose also that there are points  $a, b \in X$  with |a - b| = 1 = d(X) and |fa - fb| = 1. Then f is a 6s-nearisometry.

Proof: Let  $x, y \in A$ ,  $x \neq y$ . Since  $|x - a| + |x - b| \ge |a - b| = 1$ , we may assume that  $|x - a| \ge 1/2$ . Then  $T_1 = (x; y, a)$  and  $T_2 = (a; x, b)$  are proper triples in X, and

$$|x-y| = |T_1||T_2|, \quad |fx-fy| = |T_1'||T_2'|, \quad |T_1| \le 2, \quad |T_2| \le 1.$$

Since  $s \leq 1/2$ , it follows from the nearsymmetry of f that

$$egin{aligned} |fx-fy| &= |T_1'||T_2'| \leq (|T_1|+s)(|T_2|+s)\ &= |x-y|+|T_1|s+|T_2|s+s^2\ &< |x-y|+4s. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|T_1| \leq 2$  and  $|T_2| \leq 1$ , Lemma 4.3 gives

$$|T_1'| \ge |T_1| - 4s, \ |T_2'| \ge |T_2| - s.$$

Here  $|T_2| = |x - a| \ge 1/2 \ge s$ , and hence

$$|fx - fy| = |T'_1||T'_2| \ge (|T_1| - 4s)(|T_2| - s)$$
  
= |x - y| - 4s|x - a| - s|x - y|/|x - a| + 4s^2 \ge |x - y| - 6s.

Hence f is a 6s-nearisometry.

4.5. THEOREM: Suppose that  $A \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  is compact and that  $f: A \to l_2$  is snearsymmetric with  $s \leq 1/2$ . Then there is a surjective similarity  $S: l_2 \to l_2$  such that  $||S \circ f - \mathrm{id}||_A \leq c_n \sqrt{sd}(A)$ , where  $c_n$  depends only on n. If  $fA \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ , we can choose S so that  $S\mathbf{R}^n = \mathbf{R}^n$ .

Proof: Choose points  $a, b \in A$  with |a - b| = d(A) and set  $A_0 = A/d(A)$ , M = |fa - fb|. The map  $g: A_0 \to l_2$ , defined by gx = f(d(A)x)/M, is s-nearsymmetric. From Theorem 4.4 it follows that g is a 6s-nearisometry. By Theorem 2.2, there is a surjective isometry  $S_0: l_2 \to l_2$  such that  $||S_0 - g||_{A_0} \le c_n \sqrt{6sd}(A)$ . Setting  $Sx = d(A)S_0^{-1}(x/M)$  we obtain a surjective similarity  $S: l_2 \to l_2$  with  $||S \circ f - \mathrm{id} ||_A \le c_n \sqrt{6sd}(A)$ .

Similarly, Theorems 3.3 and 4.4 give the following result:

4.6. THEOREM: Suppose that  $0 < q \le 1$  and that  $A \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  is a compact set with  $\theta(A) \ge qd(A)$ . Let  $f: A \to \mathbf{R}^n$  be s-nearrymmetric with  $s \le 1/2$ . Then there is a similarity  $S: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$  such that  $||S \circ f - \mathrm{id}||_A \le c_n sd(A)/q$ , where  $c_n$  depends only on n.

Isr. J. Math.

# 5. Appendix: Simplexes

In this appendix we prove some elementary results on simplexes, needed in the proofs of 2.10 and 3.14. However, they are not needed in the proofs of the main results 2.2 and 3.3.

5.1. LEMMA: Suppose that  $\Delta \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  is an n-simplex with vertices  $a_0, \ldots, a_p$ ,  $b_0, \ldots, b_q$ , where  $a_j \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  and  $b_j \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1} + e_n$ . Set

$$E = \operatorname{aff}\{a_0, \ldots, a_p\}, \quad F = \operatorname{aff}\{b_0, \ldots, b_q\}.$$

Then  $E \cap (F - e_n) \neq \emptyset$ .

Proof: We may assume that  $a_0 = 0$ . Set  $y = b_0 - e_n$  and  $k_j = b_j - b_0$ ,  $1 \le j \le q$ . Then

$$E = \operatorname{span}\{a_1, \ldots, a_p\}, \quad F = b_0 + \operatorname{span}\{k_1, \ldots, k_q\}$$

Since  $\Delta$  is an *n*-simplex, the vectors  $a_1, \ldots, a_p, b_0, \ldots, b_q$  form a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Hence we can write

$$y = s_1 a_1 + \dots + s_p a_p + t_0 b_0 + \dots + t_q b_q$$
  
=  $s_1 a_1 + \dots + s_p a_p + (t_0 + \dots + t_q) b_0 + t_1 k_1 + \dots + t_q k_q.$ 

Since the vectors  $y, a_j, k_j$  lie in  $\mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  while  $b_0 \notin \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ , this implies

$$s_1a_1 + \dots + s_pa_p = y - t_1k_1 - \dots - t_qk_q \in E \cap (F - e_n).$$

We recall some notation from Section 3. For  $e \in S^{n-1}$ , the projection  $\pi_e: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$  is defined by  $\pi_e x = x \cdot e$ . The thickness of a bounded set  $A \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  is  $\theta(A) = \min d(\pi_e A)$  over  $e \in S^{n-1}$ . The smallest height of a simplex  $\Delta$  is  $b(\Delta)$ , and  $\Delta^0$  is the set of vertices of  $\Delta$ .

5.2. LEMMA: Let  $\Delta \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  be an n-simplex, and let  $z \in S^{n-1}$  be such that  $d(\pi_z \Delta) = \theta(\Delta)$ . Then  $\pi_z \Delta$  is an interval [a, b] such that  $\pi_z \Delta^0 = \{a, b\}$ .

*Proof:* Assume that the lemma is false. Then  $\Delta$  has a vertex v such that  $\pi_z v$  is an interior point of the interval  $J = \pi_z \Delta$ . Let  $\Delta_1$  be the (n-1)-face of  $\Delta$ 

opposite to v. Then  $J = \pi_z \Delta_1$ . There is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\pi_e \Delta_1 = \pi_e \Delta$  whenever  $e \in S^{n-1}$  and  $|e-z| < \delta$ . We may assume that  $0 \in E = \operatorname{aff} \Delta_1$ . We can write  $z = e_1 \cos \alpha + e_2 \sin \alpha$ , where  $0 \leq \alpha < \pi/2$ , and  $e_1, e_2$  are unit vectors such that  $e_1 \in E$  and  $e_2 \perp E$ . For  $0 \leq \varphi \leq \pi/2$ , we set  $e_{\varphi} = e_1 \cos \varphi + e_2 \sin \varphi$  and  $g(\varphi) = d(\pi_{e_{\varphi}} \Delta_1)$ . Then  $g(\varphi) = g(0) \cos \varphi$ , and hence g is strictly decreasing. Choosing  $\varphi \in ]\alpha, \pi/2]$  with  $|e_{\varphi} - e_{\alpha}| < \delta$  we have  $g(e_{\varphi}) < g(e_{\alpha}) = g(z)$ , and hence  $d(\pi_z \Delta)$  is not minimal.

5.3. THEOREM: Let  $\Delta \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  be an *n*-simplex. Then  $b(\Delta) \leq (n+1)\theta(\Delta)/2$ . If *n* is even, then  $b(\Delta) \leq n\theta(\Delta)/2$ .

*Proof:* Write n = 2k - 1 if n is odd and n = 2k if n is even.

We may assume that  $\theta(\Delta) = 1$ . Choose a vector  $z \in S^{n-1}$  such that  $d(\pi_z \Delta) = \theta(\Delta)$ . We may assume that  $z = e_n$  and that  $0 \le x_n \le 1$  for all  $x \in \Delta$ . We must show that  $b(\Delta) \le k$ .

By 5.2 we have  $\Delta^0 \subset \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \cup (\mathbf{R}^{n-1} + e_n)$ . Write  $\Delta^0 = \{a_0, \ldots, a_p, b_0, \ldots, b_q\}$ where  $a_j \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ ,  $b_j \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1} + e_n$ . Then p + q = n - 1. We may assume that  $p \leq q$ , and hence  $p \leq k - 1$ . If p = 0, then  $b(\Delta) \leq d(a_0, \mathbf{R}^{n-1} + e_n) = 1$ . Assume that  $p \geq 1$ . Set

$$E = \inf\{a_0, \ldots, a_p\}, \quad F = \inf\{b_0, \ldots, b_q\}.$$

By 5.1 there is a point  $x_0 \in E \cap (F - e_n)$ . Since  $x_0 \in E$ , we can write

$$x_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{p} t_i a_i$$
, where  $\sum_{i=0}^{p} t_i = 1$ .

We may assume that  $t_0 \ge 1/(p+1)$ . Set

$$a=\frac{1}{1-t_0}\sum_{i=1}^p t_i a_i.$$

Then  $a \in aff\{a_1, \ldots, a_p\}$  and  $x_0 = (1 - t_0)a + t_0a_0$ .

Let T be the affine (n-1)-space spanned by the face of  $\Delta$  opposite to  $a_0$ . Then  $a \in T$  and  $x_0 + e_n \in F \subset T$ . Hence  $y \in T$  where

$$y = a + (x_0 + e_n - a)/t_0 = a_0 + e_n/t_0.$$

Consequently,

$$b(\Delta) \le d(a_0, T) \le |a_0 - y| = 1/t_0 \le p + 1 \le k.$$

5.4. REMARK. One can show that the bounds in 5.3 are sharp. In the rest of the appendix, we do not try to get best possible estimates.

We fix an integer  $n \ge 2$  and let  $\sigma(\Delta)$  denote the (n-1)-dimensional volume of an (n-1)-simplex  $\Delta$ .

5.5. LEMMA: Let  $J \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  be a closed *n*-interval with edges  $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ , where  $t_j \geq t_n$  for all j. Let  $\Delta \subset J$  be an (n-1)-simplex. Then

$$\sigma(\Delta) \le c_n t_1 \cdots t_{n-1},$$

where  $c_n$  depends only on n.

**Proof:** Assume first that J is a cube with  $t_j = t_n$  for all j. Since  $\Delta$  lies in a ball B of radius  $t_n\sqrt{n}/2$ , we have  $\sigma(\Delta) \leq \sigma(\Delta_1)$ , where  $\Delta_1$  is the regular (n-1)-simplex inscribed to B. Hence  $\sigma(\Delta) \leq c_n t_n^{n-1}$  with

$$c_n = \frac{\alpha(n-1)n^{n-1}}{(2n-2)^{(n-1)/2}},$$

where  $\alpha(n-1)$  is the volume of the unit (n-1)-simplex.

In the general case let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be the linear map

$$fx = (t_1x_1/t_n, \ldots, t_{n-1}x_{n-1}/t_n, x_n),$$

and let Q be the cube  $[0, t_n]^n$ . Then fQ = J. For any (n-1)-simplex  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we have  $\sigma(fA) \leq t_1 \cdots t_{n-1} \sigma(A)/t_n^{n-1}$ . Since  $f^{-1}\Delta \subset Q$ , the special case gives  $\sigma(f^{-1}\Delta) \leq c_n t_n^{n-1}$ , and the lemma follows.

5.6. NOTATION. Let  $\Delta \subset l_2$  be an *n*-simplex, and let  $\bar{u} = (u(0), \ldots, u(n))$  be a maximal *n*-sequence in  $\Delta$  in the sense of 2.4. Then the points u(j) are the vertices of  $\Delta$ . As before, we set

$$h_{i} = d(u(j), aff\{u(0), \dots, u(j-1)\}), \quad 1 \le j \le n.$$

The sequence  $\bar{u}$  and the numbers  $h_j$  are not uniquely determined by  $\Delta$ . The volume of  $\Delta$  is

(5.a) 
$$m(\Delta) = h_1 \cdots h_n / n!.$$

5.7. THEOREM: Let  $\Delta \subset l_2$  be an n-simplex with maximal n-sequence  $\bar{u}$ . Then  $h_n \leq d_n b(\Delta)$ , where  $d_n$  depends only on n.

Proof: We may assume that  $\bar{u}$  is normalized, that is, u(0) = 0 and  $u(j) \in \mathbb{R}^{j}_{+}$ for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Then  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ . Moreover,  $\Delta$  lies in the *n*-interval

$$J = [0, h_1] \times [-h_2, h_2] \times \cdots \times [-h_{n-1}, h_{n-1}] \times [0, h_n].$$

Let A be an (n-1)-face of  $\Delta$  with  $\sigma(A)$  maximal. Then

$$m(\Delta) = \sigma(A)b(\Delta)/n.$$

Furthermore, since  $A \subset J$  and since  $h_1 \geq \cdots \geq h_n$ , Lemma 5.5 gives

$$\sigma(A) \le 2^{n-2} c_n h_1 \cdots h_{n-1}.$$

By (5.a) we obtain  $h_n \leq d_n b(\Delta)$  with  $d_n = 2^{n-2}(n-1)!c_n$ .

5.8. REMARK. The well-known formula

$$lpha(k)=rac{\sqrt{k+1}}{2^{k/2}k!}$$

yields the explicit expression  $d_n = n^{(2n-1)/2}(n-1)^{(1-n)/2}/2$ , which is not the best possible constant.

The following result was needed in 2.10.

5.9. LEMMA: Suppose that F is an n-dimensional affine subspace of  $l_2$ , that  $t \ge 0$ , that A is a compact subset of  $F + \bar{B}(t)$ , and that  $\bar{u} = (u(0), \ldots, u(n))$  is a maximal n-sequence in A. Let  $T: l_2 \to l_2$  be an isometry, normalized at  $\bar{u}$ . Then  $TA \subset \mathbf{R}^n + \bar{B}(C_n t)$ , where  $C_n$  depends only on n.

Proof: Let  $x \in A$ . We may assume that  $Tx \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \setminus \mathbf{R}^n$ . Let  $\Delta \subset \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  be the (n + 1)-simplex with vertices  $Tu(0), \ldots, Tu(n), Tx$ . Let  $P: l_2 \to \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  be the orthogonal projection, and set  $F_1 = PTF$ . Then  $\Delta \subset F_1 + \overline{B}(t)$ , and hence  $\theta(\Delta) \leq 2t$ . The lemma follows from 5.3 and 5.7 with  $C_n = (n+2)d_{n+1}$ .

#### References

- [BL] Y. Benyamini and J. Lindenstrauss, Geometric nonlinear functional analysis I, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications 48 (2000).
- [HU] D. H. Hyers and S. M. Ulam, On approximate isometries, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 51 (1945), 288-292.
- [Fi] J. Fickett, Approximate isometries on bounded sets with an application to measure theory, Studia Mathematica 72 (1982), 37-46.
- [Ge] J. Gevirtz, Stability of isometries on Banach spaces, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 89 (1983), 633-636.
- [Jo] F. John, Rotation and strain, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics 14 (1961), 391-413.
- [OŠ] M. Omladič and P. Šemrl, On nonlinear perturbations of isometries, Mathematische Annalen 303 (1995), 617–628.
- [Še] P. Šemrl, Hyers–Ulam stability of isometries, Houston Journal of Mathematics 24 (1998), 699–706.
- [Tr] D. A. Trotsenko, Approximation of maps of bounded distortion by similarities, Sibirskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal 27 (1986), 196–205 (Russian).
- [TV] P. Tukia and J. Väisälä, Quasisymmetric embeddings of metric spaces, Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae. Mathematica 5 (1980), 97–114.
- [Vä] J. Väisälä, Bilipschitz and quasisymmetric extension properties, Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae. Mathematica 11 (1986), 239–274.
- [VVW] J. Väisälä, M. Vuorinen and H. Wallin, Thick sets and quasisymmetric maps, Nagoya Mathematical Journal 135 (1994), 121–148.